| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| | 09/592,695 | COCHRAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | T. D. Wessendorf | 1639 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: R | CE |
| (1) <u>T. D. Wessendorf</u> . | (3) <u>Katherine Kowalchy</u> | <u>k</u> . |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 18 December 2006 | Time: | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes X No | Applicant's representative) | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, first paragraph | · | |
| Claims discussed: All claims particularly claims 1 and 23 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicants agreed to amend the claims that place the app | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set | on. The examiner will provide a writ | ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (F | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applicant's Representative S | Signature – if appropriate) |